

Concurrent monads for shared state

Exequiel Rivas, Tallinn Univ. of Techn.

Tarmo Uustalu, Reykjavik Univ. / Tallinn Univ. of Techn.

MSFP, Tallinn, 8 July 2024

Concurrency and effects

- Is concurrency an effect?
- I'd say no.
- Parallel composition is a high-level control structure, analogous and comparable to sequential composition.
- Monads axiomatize sequential composition of effectful computations
- but they do NOT axiomatize parallel composition.
- Kleene monads axiomatize sequential composition together with nondeterminism and finite iteration.
- Could we do the same for parallel composition?
- Spoiler: Yes, with concurrent monads by Rivas, Jaskelioff 2019; Paquet, Saville 2024

Concurrent monoids

(Gischer 1984/88; Hoare et al. 2009/11)

- A *concurrent monoid* is an ordered set with two ordered monoid structures agreeing in a certain way.
- Explicitly, it is an ordered set (M, \leq) with
 - $\text{id} \in M$, $(;) : M \times M \rightarrow M$ with $;$ monotone, unital, associative,
 - $\text{jd} \in M$, $\parallel : M \times M \rightarrow M$ with \parallel monotone, unital, associative satisfying inequational interchange

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{id} \leq \text{jd} \\ & \text{jd} ; \text{jd} \leq \text{jd} \\ & \text{id} \leq \text{id} \parallel \text{id} \\ & (k \parallel \ell) ; (m \parallel n) \leq (k ; m) \parallel (\ell ; n) \end{aligned}$$

- If the 1st inequation holds as an equality, we say the concurrent monoid is *normal*.

Concurrent monoids ctd

- The 4th inequation implies the 1st.
- The 1st inequation implies the converses of the 2nd and 3rd inequations.
- If \leq is $=$, a concurrent monoid reduces to a *duoid*.
- By Eckmann–Hilton, a normal duoid is the same as a commutative monoid.
- Remark: Classically, one requires commutativity of \parallel and normality. We don't.

Let's type this: Concurrent categories, take 1

- A *concurrent category* is an ordered “strict monoidal like” category.
- Explicitly, it is a set $|\mathbb{C}|$ and, for all $X, Y \in |\mathbb{C}|$, an ordered set $(\mathbb{C}(X, Y), \leq)$ with
 - $\text{id} \in \mathbb{C}(X, X)$, $(;) : \mathbb{C}(X, Y) \times \mathbb{C}(Y, Z) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}(X, Z)$
with $(;)$ monotone, unital, associative,(= an ordered category)
 - $I \in |\mathbb{C}|$, $\otimes : |\mathbb{C}| \times |\mathbb{C}| \rightarrow |\mathbb{C}|$
with \otimes strictly unital, associative,
 - $\text{jd} \in \mathbb{C}(I, I)$, $\parallel : \mathbb{C}(X, Z) \times \mathbb{C}(Y, W) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}(X \otimes Y, Z \otimes W)$
with \parallel monotone, unital, associativesatisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \text{id} &\leq \text{jd} \\ \text{jd} ; \text{jd} &\leq \text{jd} \\ \text{id} &\leq \text{id} \parallel \text{id} \\ (k \parallel \ell) ; (m \parallel n) &\leq (k ; m) \parallel (\ell ; n) \end{aligned}$$

(= a strict monoidal like structure, but $I : 1 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and $\otimes : \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ are lax functors, i.e., jd and \parallel preserve identity and composition laxly)

Concurrent categories, properly

- We don't want strict monoidal(-like)ness, but non-strict, however with the unitality and associativity laws “central”.
- We assume given an ordered monoidal category $\mathbb{C} = (\mathbb{C}, \leq, \text{id}, (;), I, \otimes)$.
- A *concurrent category* with base \mathbb{C} is given by an ordered “(non-strict) ‘monoidal-like’” category \mathbb{K} with the same objects as \mathbb{C} and an identity-on-objects ordered “strict monoidal like” functor J .

Concurrent categories, properly

- Explicitly, \mathbb{K} consists of a set $|\mathbb{K}| = |\mathbb{C}|$ and, for all $X, Y \in |\mathbb{K}|$, an ordered set $(\mathbb{K}(X, Y), \leq^K)$ with
 - $\text{id}^K \in \mathbb{K}(X, X)$, $(;^K) : \mathbb{K}(X, Y) \times \mathbb{K}(Y, Z) \rightarrow \mathbb{K}(X, Z)$
with $(;^K)$ monotone, unital, associative,

(= an ordered category)

- $I^K = I \in |\mathbb{K}|$, $\otimes^K = \otimes : |\mathbb{K}| \times |\mathbb{K}| \rightarrow |\mathbb{K}|$
with \otimes^K unital, associative (in \mathbb{K} !) via $J\lambda, J\rho, J\alpha$
- $\text{jd} \in \mathbb{K}(I, I)$, $\parallel : \mathbb{K}(X, Z) \times \mathbb{K}(Y, W) \rightarrow \mathbb{K}(X \otimes Y, Z \otimes W)$
with \parallel monotone and unital, associative up to $J\lambda, J\rho, J\alpha$

satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \text{id}^K &\leq^K \text{jd} \\ \text{jd} ; \text{jd} &\leq^K \text{jd} \\ \text{id}^K &\leq^K \text{id}^K \parallel \text{id}^K \\ (k \parallel \ell) ;^K (m \parallel n) &\leq^K (k ;^K m) \parallel (\ell ;^K n) \end{aligned}$$

(= a strict monoidal like structure, but jd and \parallel preserve identity and composition laxly)

- J is an identity-on-objects ordered functor that is strict monoidal like, but preserves map operations I and \otimes oplaxly in that $JI \leq^K \text{jd}$ and $J(f \otimes g) \leq^K Jf \parallel Jg$.

Toward concurrent monads

- For an ordered mon. cat. \mathbb{C} , what data and (inequations) we need equip an ordered functor $T : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with to get that \mathbb{K} with $|\mathbb{K}| = |\mathbb{C}|$, $\mathbb{K}(X, Y) = \mathbb{C}(X, TY)$, $k \leq^{\mathbb{K}} \ell$ iff $k \leq \ell$ is a concurrent category?
- We can proceed from these monotone bijections between homposets.

$$\frac{\mathbb{C}(X, TY) \times \mathbb{C}(Y, TZ) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}(X, TZ) \text{ nat. in } X \text{ in Poset}}{\mathbb{C}(Y, TZ) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}(TY, TZ) \text{ in Poset}}$$

$$\frac{\mathbb{C}(Y, TZ) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}(TY, TZ) \text{ nat. in } Y \text{ in Poset}}{T(TZ) \rightarrow TZ \text{ in } \mathbb{C}}$$

$$\frac{\mathbb{C}(X, TY) \times \mathbb{C}(U, TV) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}(X \otimes U, T(Y \otimes V)) \text{ nat. in } X, U \text{ in Poset}}{TY \otimes TV \rightarrow T(Y \otimes V) \text{ in } \mathbb{C}}$$

Concurrent monads

(Rivas, Jaskelioff 2019; Paquet, Saville 2024)

- A *concurrent monad* on an ordered monoidal category $(\mathbb{C}, \leq, I, \otimes)$ is an ordered functor that carries both an ordered monad and an ordered lax monoidal functor structure, agreeing in a certain way.
- Explicitly, it consists of an ordered functor $T : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with
 - $\eta_X : X \rightarrow TX$, $\mu_X : T(TX) \rightarrow TX$ natural in X ,
 - $\psi^0 : I \rightarrow TI$, $\psi_{X,Y} : TX \otimes TY \rightarrow T(X \otimes Y)$ natural in X, Y ,satisfying the equations of a monad and a lax monoidal functor and inequational interchange.
- For example, the 4th inequation takes the form

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} T(TX) \otimes T(TY) & \xrightarrow{\psi_{TX, TY}} & T(TX \otimes TY) & \xrightarrow{T\psi_{X, Y}} & T(T(X \otimes Y)) \\ \mu_X \otimes \mu_Y \downarrow & & \geq & & \downarrow \mu_{X \otimes Y} \\ TX \otimes TY & \xrightarrow{\psi_{X, Y}} & & \xrightarrow{} & T(X \otimes Y) \end{array}$$

- If \leq is $=$, this reduces to a *lax monoidal* (aka. *commutative*) *monad*.

Kleisli for a concurrent monad

- A concurrent monad T on an ordered monoidal category \mathbb{C} induces a concurrent category (\mathbb{K}, J) via this Kleisli construction:
 - $|\mathbb{Kl}(T)| = |\mathbb{C}|$,
 $\mathbb{Kl}(T)(X, Y) = \mathbb{C}(X, TY)$,
 - $k \leq^K \ell$ in $\mathbb{Kl}(T)(X, Y)$ iff $k \leq \ell$ in $\mathbb{C}(X, TY)$,
 - $\text{id}_X^K = \eta_X$,
 $k ;^K \ell = k ; T\ell ; \mu_Z$ for $k \in \mathbb{Kl}(T)(X, Y)$, $\ell \in \mathbb{Kl}(T)(Y, Z)$,
 - $\text{jd} = \psi^0$,
 $k \parallel \ell = (k \otimes \ell) ; \psi_{Y, V}$ for $k \in \mathbb{Kl}(T)(X, Y)$, $\ell \in \mathbb{Kl}(T)(U, V)$,
 - $JX = X$
 $Jf = f ; \eta_Y$ for $f \in \mathbb{C}(X, Y)$.
- J has a right ordered adjoint K :
 - $KX = TX$,
 $Kk = Tk ; \mu_Y$ for $k \in \mathbb{Kl}(T)(X, Y)$
- K is “lax monoidal like”, but preserves jd , \parallel oplaxly.

Kleisli for a concurrent monad ctd.

- Conversely,
if J in a concurrent category (\mathbb{K}, J) has a right adjoint with the above properties,
then the ordered functor $T = K \cdot J$ on \mathbb{C} carries the structure of a concurrent monad with (K, J) its Kleisli construction.

Example: Writer

- We consider examples on the ordered Cartesian monoidal closed category $(\text{Poset}, \leq, 1, \times, \Rightarrow)$ which has as objects ordered sets, as maps monotone functions, $f \leq g$ for $f, g : X \rightarrow Y$ iff $fx \leq^Y gx$ for all x .
- The writer/reader/state monads from FP are concurrent monads for parameters with suitable structure.
- $TX = M \times X$
for $(M, o, +, e, \cdot)$ a concurrent monoid
- $\eta_X x = (o, x)$
 $\mu_X (m, (m', x)) = (m + m', x)$
 $\psi^0 \star = (e, \star)$
 $\psi_{X,Y} ((m, x), (m', y)) = (m \cdot m', (x, y))$
- This concurrent monad is normal ($\eta_1 = \psi^0$) if the concurrent monoid is normal ($o = e$).

Reader

- $TX = S \Rightarrow X$
for S any ordered set (it is ok if \leq^S is $=$)
- NB! $S \Rightarrow X$ is the ordered set of monotone functions from S to X
(if \leq^S is $=$, this all functions are monotone).
- $\eta_X x = \lambda_. x$
 $\mu_X f = \lambda s. f s s$
 $\psi^0 \star = \lambda_. \star$
 $\psi_{X,Y} (f, g) = \lambda s. (f s, g s)$
- The interchange inequations hold as equalities, so this concurrent monad is a commutative ordered monad.

State

- $TX = S \Rightarrow S \times X$
for (S, \top, \wedge) a lower semilattice
(so \leq^S cannot be $=$ unless S is a singleton)
- Recall that $S \Rightarrow S \times X$ consists of monotone functions only.
- $\eta_X x = \lambda s. (s, x)$
 $\mu_X f = \lambda s. \text{let } (s', g) = f s \text{ in } gs'$
 $\psi^0 \star = \lambda _ . (\top, \star)$
 $\psi_{X,Y} (f, g) = \lambda s. \text{let } ((s_0, x), (s_1, y)) = (f s, g s) \text{ in } (s_0 \wedge s_1, (x, y))$
- This concurrent monad is not normal ($\eta_1 \neq \psi^0$).
- Every computation is one atomic step.
- In parallel computation, the two atomic steps happen “truly concurrently”, the competing writes are reconciled by \wedge .

Resumptions for interleaving shared state concurrency

- The idea of resumptions: a computation consists of small steps (organized into a tree).

$$\bullet TX = \mu Z. \underbrace{X}_{ret} + \underbrace{(S \Rightarrow}_{grab} \underbrace{List}_{branch} (\underbrace{S \times}_{yield} \underbrace{Z}_{repeat}))$$

for S discretely ordered (for simplicity)

- \leq^{TX} induced by $\leq^{List Y}$ induced by order-preserving inclusion between lists

- $\eta x = ret x$

$$\mu (ret r) = r$$

$$\mu (grab k) = grab (\lambda s. [(s', \mu_X r \mid (s', r) \leftarrow k s)])$$

- $\psi^0 \star = ret \star$

$$\psi (ret x, ret y) = ret (x, y)$$

$$\psi (ret x, grab \ell) = grab (\lambda s. [(s', \psi (ret x, r)) \mid (s', r) \leftarrow \ell s])$$

$$\psi (grab k, ret y) = grab (\lambda s. [(s', \psi (r, ret y)) \mid (s', r) \leftarrow k s])$$

$$\psi (grab k, grab \ell) = grab (\lambda s. [(s', \psi (r, grab \ell)) \mid (s', r) \leftarrow k s] \\ \# [(s', \psi (grab k, r)) \mid (s', r) \leftarrow \ell s])$$

- Although we use $List$ rather than \mathcal{M}_f or \mathcal{P}_f , associativity of ψ and the 4th interchange inequation hold.

Resumptions ctd.

- T supports algebraic operations for reading and writing
- $get : (S \Rightarrow X) \rightarrow TX$
 $get\ f = grab(\lambda s. [(s, f\ s)])$
- $put : S \times X \rightarrow TX$
 $put\ (s', x) = grab(\lambda _ . [(s', x)])$
- and a high-level control operation for atomizing computations
(concatenate all small steps into one single one)
- $stitch : TX \rightarrow TX$
 $stitch\ r = grab(\lambda s. stitch'(s, r))$ where
 $stitch' : S \times TX \rightarrow List(S \times TX)$
 $stitch'(s, ret\ x) = [(s, ret\ x)]$
 $stitch'(s, grab\ k) = concat(List\ stitch'(k\ s))$

Bags of traces for interleaving shared state concurrency

- $TX = \underbrace{\mathcal{M}_f}_{\text{BRANCH}} (T'X)$ where $T'X = (\mu Z. \underbrace{X}_{\text{ret}} + \underbrace{S}_{\text{or}} \times \underbrace{S}_{\text{grab}} \times \underbrace{S}_{\text{yield}} \times \underbrace{Z}_{\text{repeat}})$
- \leq^{TX} induced by $\leq^{\mathcal{M}_f Y}$ induced by multiset inclusion
- $\eta x = \{\text{ret } x\}$
 $\mu ts = \bigcup (\mathcal{M}_f \mu' ts)$ where
 $\mu' : T'(TX) \rightarrow TX$
 $\mu'(\text{ret } ts) = ts$
 $\mu'(\text{grab } s' t) = T'(\text{grab } s' s')(\mu' t)$
- $\psi^0 \star = \{\text{ret } \star\}$
 $\psi(ts_0, ts_1) = \bigcup \{t_0 \sqcup t_1 \mid t_0 \leftarrow ts_0, t_1 \leftarrow ts_1\}$ where
 $\sqcup : T'X \times T'Y \rightarrow T(X, Y)$
 $\text{ret } x \sqcup \text{ret } y = \{\text{ret } (x, y)\}$
 $\text{ret } x \sqcup \text{grab } s' t = T'(\text{grab } s' s')(\text{ret } x \sqcup t)$
 $\text{grab } s' t \sqcup \text{ret } y = T'(\text{grab } s' s')(t \sqcup \text{ret } y)$
 $\text{grab } s_0 s'_0 t_0 \sqcup \text{grab } s_1 s'_1 t_1 = T'(\text{grab } s_0 s'_0)(t_0 \sqcup \text{grab } s_1 s'_1 t_1)$
 $\cup T'(\text{grab } s_1 s'_1)(\text{grab } s_0 s'_0 t_0 \sqcup t_1)$
- $\text{get}, \text{put}, \text{stitch}$ also definable, e.g.,
 $\text{put}(s', x) = \{\text{grab } s' s'(\text{ret } x) \mid s \in S\}$

Duoidal categories

- Concurrent monoids are objects with structure of the ordered category Poset .
- To define what makes a concurrent monoid object in a general ordered category \mathbb{D} , this category has to be ordered duoidal.
- An *ordered duoidal category* is an ordered category \mathbb{D} with two ordered monoidal structures (I, \odot) , (J, \otimes) and maps

$$J \rightarrow I$$

$$J \rightarrow J \odot J$$

$$I \otimes I \rightarrow I$$

$$(F \odot G) \otimes (H \odot K) \rightarrow (F \otimes H) \odot (G \otimes K) \text{ nat. in } F, G, H, K$$

satisfying certain equations.

Concurrent monoid objects

- A *concurrent monoid object* in an ordered duoidal category is an object M with two monoid structures (o, a) wrt. (I, \odot) and (e, m) wrt. (J, \otimes) satisfying inequational interchange.
- A (classical) concurrent monoid is a concurrent monoid object in the ordered duoidal category $(\text{Poset}, 1, \times, 1, \times)$.

Concurrent monads as concurrent monoids

- If $(\mathbb{C}, \leq, I, \otimes)$ is an ordered monoidal category, then $([\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C}]_a, \leq, \text{Id}, \cdot, \text{Jd}, \star)$ is an ordered duoidal category.
- Here (Jd, \star) is the Day convolution monoidal structure

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Jd}Z &= \mathbb{C}(I, Z) \bullet I \\ (F \star G)Z &= \int^{X, Y} \mathbb{C}(X \otimes Y, Z) \bullet (FX \otimes GY) \end{aligned}$$

- A (accessible) concurrent monad is the same as a concurrent monoid object in this ordered duoidal category.

The carrier is $T \in [\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C}]_a$ and the structure maps are

- $\eta : \text{Id} \rightarrow T, \mu : T \cdot T \rightarrow T,$
- $e : \text{Jd} \rightarrow T, m : T \star T \rightarrow T.$

Conclusions and future work

- Parallel composition is axiomatizable relatively smoothly for typed effectful computation.
- Ordered category theory streamlines the development. Ordered duoidal categories are particularly important.
- The resumption model can be captured easily and is flexible for variations.

- How to extend an ordered monad canonically to a concurrent monad?
- Concurrent monads for transactional memories, relaxed memories?
- Axiomatization of atomization, of operations of cooperative concurrency?

References

- J. L. Gischer. The equational theory of posets. *Theor. Comput. Sci.* 1988.
- C. A. R. Hoare, B. Möller, G. Struth, I. Wehrman. Concurrent Kleene algebra and its foundations. *J. Log. Algebraic Program.* 2011.
- E. Rivas, M. Jaskelioff. Merging monads. HAL 2019.
- H. Paquet, P. Saville. Effectful semantics in bicategories: strong, commutative and concurrent pseudomonads. LICS 2024.
- C. Heunen, J. Sigal. Duoidally enriched Freyd categories. RELMICS 2023.
- P. Cenciarelli, E. Moggi. A syntactic approach to modularity in denotational semantics. CTCS '93.
- S. Goncharov, L. Schröder. A coinductive calculus for asynchronous side-effecting. *Inf. Comput.* 2013.
- Y. Dvir, O. Kammar, O. Lahav. An algebraic theory for shared-state concurrency. APLAS 2022.
- Y. Dvir, O. Kammar, O. Lahav. A denotational approach to release/acquire concurrency. ESOP 2024.